

Letter to the Editor

Additional Considerations for US Residency Selection After Pass/Fail USMLE Step 1. Comment on “The US Residency Selection Process After the United States Medical Licensing Examination Step 1 Pass/Fail Change: Overview for Applicants and Educators”

Yacine Sow¹, BA; Ameya Gangal², MD; Howa Yeung², MD; Travis Blalock², MD; Benjamin Stoff², MA, MD

¹Morehouse School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, United States

²Department of Dermatology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, United States

Corresponding Author:

Yacine Sow, BA

Morehouse School of Medicine

720 Westview Drive SW

Atlanta, GA, 30310

United States

Phone: 1 678 900 3441

Email: yacinenellysow@gmail.com

Related Articles:

Comment on: <http://www.jmir.org/2023/1/e37069/>

Comment in: <http://mhealth.jmir.org/2023/1/e50109/>

(*JMIR Med Educ* 2023;9:e47763) doi: [10.2196/47763](https://doi.org/10.2196/47763)

KEYWORDS

admission; assessment; postgraduate training; selection; standardized testing; USMLE; medical school; medical students; residency application; research training

As medical students navigating the new landscape of residency selection after the switch to a pass/fail USMLE (United States Medical Licensing Examination) Step 1, we read a recent viewpoint by Ozair et al [1] with great interest. We hope to offer a unique perspective and present additional potential solutions for residency programs and medical schools to consider.

We agree with the authors' observation that research productivity is now necessary for a successful match with competitive specialties. Ozair et al [1] discussed the disadvantages for international medical graduates (IMGs) and provided a cost-benefit analysis of students trying to maximize research output. We would add that research by medical students relies on access to well-funded research institutions and adequate mentorship. This impacts IMGs as well as students attending institutions without home residency programs [2]. To gain access to research experiences, medical students increasingly undertake research years [3]. These research fellowships, some of which are paid whereas others are not, are competitive and limited. Unpaid research fellowships pose several problems, such as potential loss of student status and subsequent requirement for loan repayments, loss of health insurance, and need to fund living expenses and relocation costs [3]. Students with

already-limited access to research experiences can face prohibitively high financial burdens in this context.

As Ozair et al [1] provided recommendations and resources for residency applicants, we would like to offer recommendations for residency programs. To mitigate inequity surrounding research metrics, programs may consider offering year-long, paid fellowships for students without home programs, IMGs, and students with financial needs. Programs can also promote a collaborative environment through dedicated outreach, research funding, and away rotations for students at programs with less research funding. Access to research opportunities should be especially considered as part of a holistic review.

In light of the barriers to engaging in research, Ozair et al [1] suggested securing protected research time for a competitive match. Given the barriers to acquiring year-long research fellowships, we suggest medical school curricula allocate time for research and networking experiences to explore fields of interest and bolster applications. The authors also remarked that exam-related anxiety is likely to increase, as candidates now only have one chance to obtain a top score on Step 2 [1]. Thus, we suggest medical schools allocate a 6- to 8-week dedicated period for students to prepare for Step 2 or allow students to

take Step 2 before completing all third-year clerkships. The Wake Forest School of Medicine employed an abbreviated set of “core” clerkships where students took Step 2 halfway through their third year, providing more time to complete the remaining electives and prepare for the residency application process [4].

The shift to binary Step 1 grading resulted from good intentions but has had unintended consequences, particularly for medical students. Thus, we hope residency programs and medical schools support an equitable residency application process; provide transparency about methods of assessing applicants, including those related to research output; and make curricular adaptations to support students during this time of transition.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

1. Ozair A, Bhat V, Detchou DKE. The US residency selection process after the United States Medical Licensing Examination Step 1 pass/fail change: overview for applicants and educators. *JMIR Med Educ* 2023 Jan 06;9:e37069 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/37069](https://doi.org/10.2196/37069)] [Medline: [36607718](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36607718/)]
2. Villa NM, Shi VY, Hsiao JL. An underrecognized barrier to the dermatology residency match: lack of a home program. *Int J Womens Dermatol* 2021 Sep;7(4):512-513 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1016/j.ijwd.2021.02.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijwd.2021.02.011)] [Medline: [34621973](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34621973/)]
3. Jung J, Stoff BK, Orenstein LA. Unpaid research fellowships among dermatology residency applicants. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2022 Nov;87(5):1230-1231 [doi: [10.1016/j.jaad.2021.12.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2021.12.027)] [Medline: [34942299](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34942299/)]
4. Strowd LC, Hartman N, Askew K, Vallevand A, McDonough K, Goforth J, et al. The impact of shortened clinical clerkships on medical student performance and clerkship assessment. *Med Sci Educ* 2021 Aug 04;31(4):1333-1341 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1007/s40670-021-01309-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-021-01309-8)] [Medline: [34109057](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34109057/)]

Abbreviations

IMG: international medical graduate

USMLE: United States Medical Licensing Examination

Edited by T Leung; this is a non-peer-reviewed article. Submitted 31.03.23; accepted 30.07.23; published 17.08.23.

Please cite as:

Sow Y, Gangal A, Yeung H, Blalock T, Stoff B

Additional Considerations for US Residency Selection After Pass/Fail USMLE Step 1. Comment on “The US Residency Selection Process After the United States Medical Licensing Examination Step 1 Pass/Fail Change: Overview for Applicants and Educators”
JMIR Med Educ 2023;9:e47763

URL: <https://mededu.jmir.org/2023/1/e47763>

doi: [10.2196/47763](https://doi.org/10.2196/47763)

PMID: [37590047](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37590047/)

©Yacine Sow, Ameya Gangal, Howa Yeung, Travis Blalock, Benjamin Stoff. Originally published in *JMIR Medical Education* (<https://mededu.jmir.org/>), 17.08.2023. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in *JMIR Medical Education*, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <https://mededu.jmir.org/>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.